

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2010-2014

Program Category:	Project Title:			08 Project #	09 Project #	10 Project #
Street Improvements	Right Lane Addition, I-90 at Grant Creek Road			S-05	S-03	S-03

Description and justification of project and funding sources:

This project widens the current single lane southbound on Grant Creek Road at the intersection with I-90 to provide a second lane for thru traffic or right turn to I-90 west. Congestion at this intersection was identified as an increasing problem in 1995. On December 6, 2005, MDT issued a design and preliminary cost estimate for the lane addition. In December 2006, the Grant Creek Neighborhood Council issued a survey questionnaire to the 563 residences in the Grant Creek Valley. Of the 195 (35%) questionnaires returned, 77% of the residences agreed (6% disagreed) that "Grant Creek Rd southbound at I-90 must be improved to accommodate future traffic projections before any new subdivision or commercial development adding significantly to the traffic is approved in Grant Creek." The respondents reported delays ranging from 1 to 30 minutes. This project providing additional lane capacity for several vehicles should help relieve congestion caused by the current traffic loadings but may not handle substantive increases.

Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?

Yes

No

NA

X

Are there any site requirements:

Right-of-way exists.

How is this project going to be funded:

Revenue	Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	Funded in Prior Years
	TBD					120,000		
			-	-	-	120,000	-	-

How is this project going to be spent:

Expense	Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	Spent in Prior Years
	A. Land Cost					96,000		
	B. Construction Cost					9,600		
	C. Contingencies (10% of B)		-	-	-			
	D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)		-	-	-	14,400		
	E. Percent for Art (1% of B)							
	F. Equipment Costs							
	G. Other							
			-	-	-	120,000	-	-

Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:

Operating Budget Costs	Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	Spent in Prior Years
	Personnel							
	Supplies							
	Purchased Services							
	Fixed Charges							
	Capital Outlay							
	Debt Service							
			-	-	-	-	-	-

Description of additional operating budget impact:

	Responsible Person:	Responsible Department:	Date Submitted to Finance	Today's Date and Time	Preparer's Initials	Total Score
	Steve King	Public Works	03/02/2009	06/03/2009 13:30	CJK	-

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Project Rating

(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

Program Category:	Project Title:					10 Project #	
Street Improvements	Right Lane Addition, I-90 at Grant Creek Road					S-03	
Qualitative Analysis		Yes	No	Comments			
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>Grant Creek Road is the only reasonable outlet from the Grant Creek Valley. The single lane bottleneck is a safety threat in event of emergency evacuation. Undue delays are caused by the resultant congestion of the single lane. Tailbacks up to the RMEF entrance are occurring. It is sometimes difficult for customers of the Grant Creek Inn, Sevenar, McKenzie River, and Starbucks to cross into the queue of traffic.</p>			
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>The addition of this lane of traffic will improve the emergency evacuation capability in event of wildfire.</p>			
Quantitative Analysis		Raw Score Range	Comments			Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?		(0-3)	<p>No General Fund dollars. The project benefits travelers, residents of the Grant Creek Valley, Snowbowl users, and customers of the 3 motels, convenience store/service station, 3 restaurants, and employees and visitors to the RMEF.</p>			5	-
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?		(0-3)	<p>The congestion and emergency evacuation bottleneck now exist. The maximum effectiveness will be realized when the additional lane is complete. The sooner the better.</p>			4	-
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?		(0-3)	<p>Removal of this bottleneck will avoid vehicles idling at this intersection, thereby conserving energy and reducing pollution.</p>			3	-
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?		(0-2)	<p>The project improves traffic flow. Providing adequate and safe transportation arterials is an essential City service. Grant Creek Rd will be more effective both from the safety and functional considerations when this lane is added.</p>			4	-
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?		(0-3)	<p>The project satisfies the TEA-21 Planning Factor 3 (increases safety); Factor 4 (enhances air quality and promotes energy conservation), and improves livability.</p>			4	-
Total Score							