

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**  
**City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2010-2014**

<b>Program Category:</b>		<b>Project Title:</b>		<b>08 Project #</b>	<b>09 Project #</b>	<b>10 Project #</b>	
Street Improvements		Right Lane Addition, I-90 at Grant Creek Road		S-05	S-03	S-03	
<b>Description and justification of project and funding sources:</b> This project widens the current single lane southbound on Grant Creek Road at the intersection with I-90 to provide a second lane for thru traffic or right turn to I-90 west. Congestion at this intersection was identified as an increasing problem in 1995. On December 6, 2005, MDT issued a design and preliminary cost estimate for the lane addition. In December 2006, the Grant Creek Neighborhood Council issued a survey questionnaire to the 563 residences in the Grant Creek Valley. Of the 195 (35%) questionnaires returned, 77% of the residences agreed (6% disagreed) that "Grant Creek Rd southbound at I-90 must be improved to accommodate future traffic projections before any new subdivision or commercial development adding significantly to the traffic is approved in Grant Creek." The respondents reported delays ranging from 1 to 30 minutes. This project providing additional lane capacity for several vehicles should help relieve congestion caused by the current traffic loadings but may not handle substantive increases.							
<b>Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?</b>				<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>NA</b>	
						X	
<b>Are there any site requirements:</b>							
Right-of-way exists.							
<b>REVENUE</b>	<b>How is this project going to be funded:</b>						<b>Funded in Prior Years</b>
	<b>Funding Source</b>	<b>Accounting Code</b>	<b>FY10</b>	<b>FY11</b>	<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>	<b>FY14</b>
	TBD					120,000	
			-	-	-	120,000	-
<b>EXPENSE</b>	<b>How is this project going to be spent:</b>						<b>Spent in Prior Years</b>
	<b>Budgeted Funds</b>	<b>Accounting Code</b>	<b>FY10</b>	<b>FY11</b>	<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>	<b>FY14</b>
	A. Land Cost						
	B. Construction Cost					96,000	
	C. Contingencies (10% of B)		-	-	-	9,600	
	D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)		-	-	-	14,400	
	E. Percent for Art (1% of B)						
	F. Equipment Costs						
G. Other							
		-	-	-	120,000	-	-
<b>OPERATING BUDGET COSTS</b>	<b>Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:</b>						<b>Spent in Prior Years</b>
	<b>Expense Object</b>	<b>Accounting Code</b>	<b>FY10</b>	<b>FY11</b>	<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>	<b>FY14</b>
	Personnel						
	Supplies						
	Purchased Services						
	Fixed Charges						
	Capital Outlay						
	Debt Service						
			-	-	-	-	-
Description of additional operating budget impact:							
<b>Responsible Person:</b>		<b>Responsible Department:</b>	<b>Date Submitted to Finance</b>	<b>Today's Date and Time</b>	<b>Preparer's Initials</b>	<b>Total Score</b>	
Steve King		Public Works	03/02/2009	06/03/2009 13:30	CJK	-	

# CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

## Project Rating

(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

<b>Program Category:</b>	<b>Project Title:</b>					<b>10 Project #</b>
<b>Street Improvements</b>	<b>Right Lane Addition, I-90 at Grant Creek Road</b>					<b>S-03</b>

  

Qualitative Analysis	Yes	No	Comments
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		X	
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		X	
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		X	Grant Creek Road is the only reasonable outlet from the Grant Creek Valley. The single lane bottleneck is a safety threat in event of emergency evacuation. Undue delays are caused by the resultant congestion of the single lane. Tailbacks up to the RMEF entrance are occurring. It is sometimes difficult for customers of the Grant Creek Inn, Sevenar, McKenzie River, and Starbucks to cross into the queue of traffic.
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		X	The addition of this lane of traffic will improve the emergency evacuation capability in event of wildfire.

  

Quantitative Analysis	Raw Score Range	Comments	Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?	(0-3)	No General Fund dollars. The project benefits travelers, residents of the Grant Creek Valley, Snowbowl users, and customers of the 3 motels, convenience store/service station, 3 restaurants, and employees and visitors to the RMEF.	5	-
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?	(0-3)	The congestion and emergency evacuation bottleneck now exist. The maximum effectiveness will be realized when the additional lane is complete. The sooner the better.	4	-
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?	(0-3)	Removal of this bottleneck will avoid vehicles idling at this intersection, thereby conserving energy and reducing pollution.	3	-
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?	(0-2)	The project improves traffic flow. Providing adequate and safe transportation arterials is an essential City service. Grant Creek Rd will be more effective both from the safety and functional considerations when this lane is added.	4	-
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?	(0-3)	The project satisfies the TEA-21 Planning Factor 3 (increases safety); Factor 4 (enhances air quality and promotes energy conservation), and improves livability.	4	-
<b>Total Score</b>				-