

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

City of Missoula CIP Project Request/Update Form FY 2018-2022

Department Priority		Major Department	New or Update	Required Is this project Required?	Delay Can project be delayed?	Project Title		
2	of 12	Public_Works	Update	Yes	No	Russell Street Interceptor (Broadway to South 3rd S		
Project Rating	Project Number	Division/ Sub-Department						
Efficiency	WW_04	Wastewater/Compost	Is the project APPROVED for Fiscal Year 2018?			Y	FUNDED?	Y

Summary Description and rationale of project and funding sources:

The roadway reconstruction of Russell Street will necessitate repairs of the existing Russell Interceptor sewer main. An evaluation study and televised inspection were performed and the results show that small portions of the existing sewer main are in need of repair or maintenance.

History & Current Status: Impact if Cancelled or Delayed

The evaluation study was conducted in 2012 and 2013 and funded by Sewer R&D. Construction funding was to be accomplished in FY16 and FY17, utilizing the Sewer R&D and Miscellaneous Sewer Main Repairs budget. The main was found to be in good condition and is only in need of minor repair and maintenance work at this time. The repair and maintenance for this sewer main will be conducted prior to roadway improvements to Russell Street, which are planned for the 2017 construction season.

Are there any site requirements/ Potentially Affected Interest (PAI) Coordination:

How is this project going to be funded:

Funding Source	Accounting Code	Prior Year Summation	Unappropriated subsequent years					
			FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	
Sewer Replacement & Depreciation		See "UPDATE" tab for detail of revenue funding sources and amounts.	31,500					
			- 31,500	-	-	-	-	-

How is this project going to be spent:

Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	Prior Year Summation	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22
A. Land Cost		See "UPDATE" tab for detail of expenditures sources and amounts.					
B. Construction Cost			28,500				
C. Contingencies (10% of B)			3,000				
D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)							
E. Percent for Art (1% of B)							
F. Equipment Costs							
G. Other							
		-	31,500	-	-	-	-

Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?

Is there ongoing Operating and/or Maintenance costs upon completion of project?

If "Y" then complete the section below (Operational Budget Impact)

(account for operational savings and/or reduction in current budget of previous operating/maintenance charges)

Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22
A. Personnel						
B. Supplies						
C. Purchased Services						
D. Fixed Charges						
E. Capital Outlay						
F. Debt Service						
G. (Operational Savings)						
		-	-	-	-	-

NOTE: Approval of the CIP does not indicate approval of the ongoing operating and maintenance costs. Those costs must be submitted as a "New Request" in the regular budget process. This will ensure the coordination exists between the CIP and the new request

Description of additional operating budget impact:

Responsible Person:	Responsible Department:	Date Submitted to Finance	Today's Date and Time	Preparer's Initials
Kevin Slovarp	Development Services	4/21/2017	1/22/2018 17:09	LAH

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Project description/Rating

(See C.I.P Instructions for explanation of the Project Rating and Rational that is required)

Department Priority	Project Rating	Department	New or Update	Project Title
2	Efficiency	Public_Works	Update	reet Interceptor (Broadway to Sou
of 12	WW_04	Wastewater/Compost		
Project Rating #1				
Efficiency		Project Rationale #1		
Does the project demonstrate a clear efficiency or productivity gain as demonstrated by a cost/benefit analysis? Include analysis and supporting documentation.		Completing this work in conjunction with the MDT Russell Street roadway reconstruction project will save money in that the road would not need to be dug up in the next few years to address issues that could be prevented by completing this work as part of a current project. This saves the City both time and money as well as prevents further inconvenience to drivers by disrupting traffic one time instead of two.		
Project Rating #2				
Replacement		Project Rationale #2		
Does the project or asset replace an existing asset that is at the end of its useful life (and is not already included in the Core replacement program or up for replacement)?		This portion of the sewer system will be obsolete and needs to be properly abandoned.		
Project Rating #3				
#N/A		Project Rationale #3		
#N/A				
Project Rating #4				
#N/A		Project Rationale #4		
#N/A				