CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2012-2016

Program Category:	Project Title:	10 Project #	11 Project #	12 Project #
Parks, Recreation and Open Space	Grant Creek Trail	PR-05	PR-04	PR-04

Description and justification of project and funding sources:

The proposed Grant Creek Trail is an 8'-wide asphalt biking and pedestrian trail starting from the north end of the current RMEF trail and ending at near the City/County line. The proposed trail would be 2 miles running along and to the west of Grant Creek Rd. and would serve as the trunk system connected by future lateral trails from each of the subdivisions This proposed trail is Grant Creek neighborhood initiated and supported, and enjoys a high level of community support. This trail is urgently required to alleviate unsafe bike/ped conditions in the valley. Grant Creek Rd. is a busy, shoulder-less, winding road with 45 mph speeds used by bicyclists and pedestrians, who have no other choice for travel/recreation in the Grant Creek corridor. Grant Creek consists of 13 subdivisions between the City and the County with no safe bicycle or pedestrian connectors between them for children or adults, and no means other than automobile to connect to community transport systems. The trail would connect to the rest of Missoula's non-motorized system via the bike lanes or Reserve St.

Approximately 1.3 miles of trail is planned to be constructed in Missoula County which would ultimately result in 3.3 miles of continuous trail. Missoula County will be working on their portion of the trail independently of the City.

Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?	Yes	No	NA

Are there any site requirements:

How is this project going to be funded:									
Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	Years		
GCTA		67,500	67,500						
CTEP		435,000	435,000						
06 Open Space Bond		77,649					92,351		
· ·		,					,		
		580,149	502,500	-	-	-	92,351		
	<u> </u>	Funding Source Accounting Code	Funding Source Accounting Code FY12 GCTA 67,500 CTEP 435,000 06 Open Space Bond 77,649	Funding Source Accounting Code FY12 FY13 GCTA 67,500 67,500 CTEP 435,000 435,000 06 Open Space Bond 77,649	Funding Source Accounting Code FY12 FY13 FY14 GCTA 67,500 67,500 67,500 CTEP 435,000 435,000 435,000 06 Open Space Bond 77,649 77,649	Funding Source Accounting Code FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15 GCTA CTEP 67,500 435,000 67,500 435,000 435,000 77,649	Funding Source Accounting Code FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15 FY16 GCTA CTEP 67,500 435,000 67,500 435,000 435,000 06 Open Space Bond 77,649 435,000		

	*NOTE: GCTA acquired and used 2 RTP of	grants toward the project. The	ese grants are not	accounted for he	re and will not be use	ed for local match	on CTEP.		
	How is this project going to be spent:								
	Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	Years	
щ	A. Land Cost		77,649					92,351	
S	A. Land Cost B. Construction Cost		395,350	395,350					
믭	C. Contingencies (10% of B)		40,000	40,000					
E	D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)		43,250	43,250				47,800	
	E. Percent for Art (1% of B)								
	F. Equipment Costs								
	G. Other								
			556,249	478,600	-	-	-	140,151	

*NOTE: The estimated costs shown here do NOT account for ICAP % required by MDT/CTEP. ICAP is accounted for at the State level in the MPO's TIP.

	Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:								
TS	Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	Years	
OST	Personnel		4,108	4,314	4,530	4,756	4,994		
	Supplies		822	961	1,125	1,316	1,540		
GEL	Purchased Services		939	1,099	1,285	1,504	1,760		
nDG	Fixed Charges								
B	Capital Outlay								
9	Debt Service								
F			5,869	6,374	6,940	7,576	8,293	-	

Description of additional operating budget impact: In FY09 the cost of maintaining trails was estimated at \$2,535, additional years estimated at 5% increase for personnel and 17% for supplies per mile per year. The total mileage is about 2 miles. Cost of routine resurfacing approximately every 7 years dependent on weather not included in budget.

Responsible Person:	Responsible Department:	Date Submitted to Finance	Today's Date and Time	Preparer's Initials	Total Score
Dave Shaw	Parks & Recreation		4/6/2011 12:09	DS	49

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM Project Rating

Program Category				See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)	1	
Program Category:	Project '	Title:				10 Project #
Parks, Recreation and Open Space	Grant Cree	ek Trail				PR-04
	e Analysis	Yes	No	Comments		
 Is the project necessa state, or local legal requierion includes projects in Order to meet requirement requirements. Of special project be accessible to 	irements? This cri- mandated by Court ents of law or other al concern is that the		x	Montana Code provides that services to annexed areas be provided on substantially the sa manner as such services are provided within the rest of the municipality. Grant Creek does bicycle or pedestrian transportation via a trail network, sidewalks, or bike street lanes as procity. Grant Creek does not have access to Mountain Line bus transportation. This project w of pedestrian/bicycle transportation via a trail separated from the road and designed for AD a separate CIP Request for a Park'n Ride with bus service and trailhead parking just north and coupled with this project, GC pedestrians/cyclists/motorists will all have bus transportat GC Road to incorporate sidewalks and bike lanes is not feasible and is cost prohibitive.	not have ovided els rill provide A handica of Sevena	a safe means of sewhere in the sethe safe mean apped access. ar is approved
2. Is the project necessa	ary to fulfill a con-					
tractual requirement? T Federal or State grants of participation. Indicate the number in the comment	his criterion includes which require local e Grant name and		x			
3. Is this project urgently lay result in curtailment ovice? This statement she "Yes" only if an emerger cated; otherwise, answe be sure to give full justification.	of an essential ser- nould be checked ncy is clearly indi- er "No". If "Yes",		X	It is urgent to remedy the dangerous exposure of pedestrians and cyclists on Grant Creek F separate from the road as soon as possible and before a serious accident occurs.	Rd by pro	viding a trail
4. Does the project prov prove public health and/ This criterion should be less public health and/or shown to be an urgent o	or public safety? answered "No" un- r safety can be		X	Not only do Missoulians use Grant Creek Rd. for recreational biking and walking/jogging, but have visitors who frequently walk along Grant Creek Rd. for exercise. With virtually no shout Rd, and a speed limit of 45 mph, this creates a dangerous bicycle/pedestrian environment. safe non-motorized connections between subdivisions and reduce traffic (and exhaust polluc Creek residents. This trail would also encourage physical activity, promoting individual health	ılder alon This trail ıtion) crea	g Grant Creek would make
Quantitativ	ve Analysis	Raw Score Range		Comments	Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project resu benefit to the community investment dollar?		(0-3)	alterna (exclus regula	ail will alleviate traffic, provide safe routes between neighborhoods and provide a safe ative to motorized transportation. In a December 2006 survey of GC residents, 330 people sive of visitors and others from elsewhere in the City) indicated they would use the trail on a r basis with 35% of Grant Creek residences responding. Project leverages come from the Space Bond, cash donations and easements donated by landowners to match CTEP and RTF	5	15
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its			se of public safety, this project should not be deferred. Also, private donations of cash will not		8	
·	?	2	be fort	hcoming if trail completion in the near term cannot be demonstrated.	4	· ·
·	serve energy,	(0-3)	This tr for mo trail wi	hcoming if trail completion in the near term cannot be demonstrated. ail encourages use of non-motorized transportation resulting in increased air quality. The need torized transportation between neighborhoods and other locations will also be diminished. This II help promote education regarding our elk population with a proposed elk viewing station. The ovides access to the open spaces of Grant Creek and the connecting trails to the Rattlesnake	3	6
maximum effectiveness ² 7. Does the project conscultural or natural resour	ove or expand ices where such and accepted as	(0-3)	This tr for mo trail wi trail pr This tr conver plans tr (TDM)	ail encourages use of non-motorized transportation resulting in increased air quality. The need torized transportation between neighborhoods and other locations will also be diminished. This II help promote education regarding our elk population with a proposed elk viewing station. Th	3	
7. Does the project conscultural or natural resource pollution? 8. Does the project imprupon essential City services are recognized	serve energy, rces, or reduce ove or expand ices where such and accepted as ective?	(0-3)	This tr for mo trail wi trail pr This tr convert (TDM) increase The train the st Non-M involve TDM g Creek	ail encourages use of non-motorized transportation resulting in increased air quality. The need torized transportation between neighborhoods and other locations will also be diminished. This II help promote education regarding our elk population with a proposed elk viewing station. The ovides access to the open spaces of Grant Creek and the connecting trails to the Rattlesnake rail would provide a safe non-motorized route that is relatively inexpensive and very nient for Grant Creek residents, Missoula residents and visitors. The project is in concert with to conserve open space. It furthers the objectives of Transportation Demand Management by providing a facility of affordable transportation, reducing the number of vehicle trips, and	§ 3	6

Surface Transportation Program Enhancements (STPE) – CITY

Project	Description		Program 9	Schedule				Funding Source			
		Phase						Local	State	Federal	
Sponsor	Current TIP Est. Cost		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	13.42%	13.42%	86.58%	
City											
Carryover			1,327.3	716.5	337.7	196.1	305.6				
Federal Allocation (Estimated)			334.6	334.6	334.6	334.6	334.6				
Silver Park and Millsite Trail	California St. Bridge to	PE									
Funds Obligated FFY08 \$774.5	Bitterroot Branch /	ROW	1								
	Milwaukee Trail	CN						0.0		0.0	
City Parks Department/ MRA		.0 Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Playfair Park	Sidewalk installation through park	PE									
Funds Obligated FY09 \$115		ROW									
, and 52.19.101 , 105 4-10	11	CN									
67. 5. (5	11	10/11/5/10/19									
City Parks Department Bitterroot BranchTrail Grade-Separated	++	Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	11	PE ROW									
Crossing at Russell		CN	1				362.6	48.7		313.	
City Public Works	362		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	362.6 362.6		0.0	313.	
Lolo Street Sidewalk	Const. sidewalks where	PE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	302.0	48.7	0.0	313.	
Loio Street Sidewalk	none exist.	ROW	1								
	Tione exist.	CN	52.0					7.0		45.0	
City Public Works		.0 Total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			45.0	
Bike Commuter Network	Kim Williams to Canyon River,	PE	32.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	45.0	
DIKE COMMITTEE NEEDON	Deer Creek Connections,	ROW	1			260.0					
	Milwaukee Trail Phase I (Reserve t	.	1			200.0					
	Mullan)	CN	355.0	204.0				27.4		176.6	
City Parks Department	819	.0 Total	355.0	204.0	0.0	260.0	0.0		0.0	176.6	
Milwaukee Trail West	Trail connection between	PE						0.0		0.0	
Funds Obligated FY06 \$385 for ROW	Russell & Reserve Streets	ROW									
FY10 \$478,650	2012-Sept-chandel introduces fundaments. Explanation (Aprilla Produces	CN	1 1					0.0		0.0	
City Parks Department		.0 Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Campus Street Crossings											
	11										
		CN	300.0					40.3		259.	
City Public Works	300		300.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.3	0.0	259.7	
Milwaukee Trail Grade-Separated	Anne es es es les services es els ses	PE									
Crossing at Russell	Grade separated bike/ped crossing	ROW									
		CN			550.0			73.8		476.2	
City Public Works	550		0.0	0.0	550.0	0.0	0.0	73.8	0.0	476.2	
Grant Creek Trail		PE									
Bike/Ped trail up Grant Creek	11	ROW									
ENVS 576 G 570 W W	73 (2000)200	CN	385.0	620.0	100,000	0040700000	5510 005	134.9	200.00	870.1	
City Parks Department	1,009	.0 Total	385.0	620.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	134.9	0.0	870.1	

8/10/2010 19